INDEX

Amendments, constitutional, in Maine, 159, 162; in Michigan, 147; number of, 67.
American Federation of Labor, and the initiative and referendum, 122.
Aristotle, and the progressive movement, 36, 43; on democracy, 251.
Arizona, initiative and referendum in, 86, 104.
Arkansas, initiative and referendum in, 85, 86, 104.

Bills, limitations on introduction of, 61; passage of, 54; reading of, 60; titles of, 60.
BLACK, J. WILLIAM. Maine's Experience with the Initiative and Referendum, 159–178.
"Boss," the, and the courts, 29; danger of, 22; effect of, in Maine, 163; power of, 21, 23; under the convention system, 3.
BOURNE, JONATHAN, JR. Functions of the Initiative, Referendum and Recall, 3–16; address of, in United States Senate, 38, 98.
BROWN, ROME G. The Judicial Recall—A Fallacy Repugnant to Constitutional Government, 239–277.
Bryan, William Jennings, and direct legislation, 87.

California, initiative and referendum in, 86, 105; progressive movement in, 40.
CATLETT, FRED WAYNE. The Working of the Recall in Seattle, 227–236.
Colorado, action of supreme court in, 318; initiative and referendum in, 86, 104.
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT BY POPULAR VOTE, A NEW METHOD OF. William Draper Lewis, 311–325.
Constitutions, method of amending state, 322; provisions of state, 312.
Convention system, nominations under, 3.
Conventions, abolishment of, 4; action of members of, 9; and legislators, 10; disadvantages of, 8.
Corrupt practices act, 4, 16; in Great Britain, 27.
Courts, as legislative bodies, 29; cause of adverse feeling towards, 315; limitation in powers of, 278; standard of judgment of, 314.
CROSSER, ROBERT. The Initiative and Referendum Amendments in the Proposed Ohio Constitution, 191–202.

Democracy, American, problems of, 43.
DIRECT LEGISLATION AND THE RECALL. Henry Jones Ford, 65–77.
Direct primary, 4, 16, 23.
DODD, W. F. Some Considerations upon the State-Wide Initiative and Referendum, 203–215.

Exemption, tax, of household goods, 6.

FAIRLIE, JOHN A. The Referendum and Initiative in Michigan, 146–158.
FORD, HENRY JONES. Direct Legislation and the Recall, 65–77.

GALBREATH, C. B. Provisions for State-Wide Initiative and Referendum, 81–109.

General welfare, legislation for, 3, 11; promotion of, 10, 11.
Germany, government in, 68.
GILBERTSON, H. S. The Recall—Its Provisions and Significance, 216–226.
Government, kinds of, 287; representative, in America, 72; state, Hamilton on, 66; state, Madison on, 66; Webster on representative, 251.
Great Britain, judiciary in, 75; law making in, 63, 73; recall in, 75; referendum in, 69.
GUTHRIE, GEORGE W. The Initiative, Referendum and Recall, 17–31.

Hadley, Herbert S., letter of, 103.
HOLLINGSWORTH, CHARLES M. The So-Called Progressive Movement: Its Real Nature, Causes and Significance, 32–48.

Idaho, constitutional amendments in, 213; initiative and referendum in, 86.
Impeachment, removal by, 25, 263.
Individualism, and the progressive movement, 36; in Wisconsin, 41; versus community action, 11.
Initiative, advantages of, 8, 28, 70; and the American Federation of Labor, 122; arguments against, 6; argument for, 24, 214; as a remedy, 23; bibliography on, 118, 119, 120, 121; by general laws, 108; conclusions regarding, 108; definition of, 19, 105; difficulty with, 184; direct, argument for, 195; direct, definition of, 194; direct, function of, 183; effect of, 11, 37, 39, 186; extent of, in Switzerland, 129; functions of, 3, 30, 181; in Arizona, 86, 104; in Arkansas, 86, 104; in California, 86, 105; in Colorado, 86, 104; in Idaho, 86; in Illinois, 205; in Maine, 85, 101, 161, 163, 164, 165, 166; in Michigan, 85, 104; in Missouri, 85; in Montana, 85; in Nebraska, 86; in Nevada, 86; in North Dakota, 86; in Oklahoma, 99, 101, 161; in Oregon, 84, 85, 91, 160; in South Dakota, 84, 87, 89, 160; in United States, 204; in Utah, 84, 160; in Washington, 86; in Wyoming, 86; indirect, argument against, 195; indirect, argument for, 197; indirect, purpose of, 196; legislation, introduction of, in Switzerland, 132; limitation of measures submitted through, 209; Maine's experience with, 159–178; Switzerland's experience with, 110–145; method of procedure under, 19; Michigan's experience with, 146–158; necessity for, 19, 20; Oregon's attitude towards, 70; popular, definition of, 127; process of law making under, 5; progress of, in America, 84; proposal for, in Ohio, 191; results of, in Switzerland, 135; votes on, in Maine, 166, in Oregon, 94, 95, 96, 97, and in South Dakota, 89.
INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM AMENDMENTS IN THE PROPOSED OHIO CONSTITUTION, THE. Robert Crosser, 191–202.
INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM, PROVISIONS FOR STATE-WIDE. C. B. Galbreath, 81–109.
INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM, SOME CONSIDERATIONS UPON THE STATE-WIDE. W. F. Dodd, 203–215.
INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM, THE WISCONSIN PLAN FOR THE. S. Gale Lowrie, 179–190.
INITIATIVE, REFERENDUM AND RECALL, FUNCTIONS OF THE. Jonathan Bourne, Jr., 3–16.
INITIATIVE, REFERENDUM AND RECALL IN SWITZERLAND, THE. William E. Rappard, 110–145.

INITIATIVE, REFERENDUM AND RECALL, THE. George W. Guthrie, 17–31.

Johnson, Hiram W., 40.
Judges, cause of incompetency of, 29.
Judicial decisions, recall of, in Switzerland, 130.
Judicial recall, argument against, 262, 278, 281, 282; fallacies of advocates of, 243, 245, 255; how advocated, 240; in California, 217; in Greece and Rome, 36; in Switzerland, 129; justification for, 28; results of, 279; unrepublican in nature, 272; upon popular vote, 77.
JUDICIAL RECALL, THE—A FALLACY REPUGNANT TO CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT. Rome G. Brown, 239–277.
Judicial referendum, functions of, 283; results of, 284.
Judiciary, charge against, 300; error of, 304; functions of, 257; Hamilton on, 257, 261; in Australia, 258.
JUDICIARY IN THE UNITED STATES, THE POSITION OF THE. Alpheus Henry Snow, 286–310.

La Follette, R. M., and the progressive movement, 41, 42.
LAPP, JOHN A. Actual State Legislation, 49–64.
Law making, by Oregon legislature, 6, 7; in Great Britain, 63, 73; in Wisconsin, 56; present method of, 18; process of, 5, 52.
Leadership, under popular government, 9; under progressive movement, 36; under representative government, 9.
Legislation, direct, advantages of, 8, 10; and Socialist Labor Party, 122; argument for, 142, 196; definition of, 81; history of, 179; in Missouri, 102; in Montana, 99; in Switzerland, 81, 82; in Utah, 90; substitution of, 5.
Legislation, for general welfare, 3; safeguards against, 58; source of, 52; special, prevention of, 12; under initiative and referendum, 13; vicious, 6.
Legislators, incompetency of, 52; qualifications of, 50.
Legislatures, attitude of American people towards, 72; distrust of, 50, 179; inequality in, 70; limitations on power of, 49; members of, 50, 51; method of procedure in, 73; scope of, 49; weakness of, 180.
LEWIS, WILLIAM DRAPER. A New Method of Constitutional Amendment by Popular Vote, 311–325.
LOWRIE, S. GALE. The Wisconsin Plan for the Initiative and Referendum, 179–190.

"Machine" the, existence of, 21; and the courts, 29.
Maine, amendments in constitution of, 159; effect of bosses in, 163; initiative and referendum in, 85, 101, 199, 210; necessity for constitutional amendment in, 162; recall in, 177.
MAINE'S EXPERIENCE WITH THE INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM. J. William Black, 159–178.
METCALF, JAMES A. Dangers that Lurk in the Recall of the Judiciary, 278–285.
MICHIGAN, THE REFERENDUM AND INITIATIVE IN. John A. Fairlie, 146–158.
Michigan, constitution of 1908, 150; constitutional amendments in, 153; effect of constitution of, 154; initiative and referendum in, 85, 104, 210; initiative petitions in, 208, 213; referendum votes in, 155, 156, 157, 158.

Missouri, direct legislation in, 102; initiative and referendum in, 85.
"Mob rule," definition of, 15; substitution of, 24, 27.
Montana, direct legislation in, 99; initiative and referendum in, 85.

Nebraska, initiative and referendum in, 86.
Nevada, initiative in, 86; referendum in, 85, 98, 161.
New Mexico, referendum in, 86, 104.
New York, proposed constitutional amendment in, 317.
North Dakota, initiative and referendum in, 86.

Ohio, proposal for initiative and referendum in, 191; proposed constitutional amendment in, 107, 194, 200.
Oklahoma, initiative and referendum in, 99, 101, 161.
Oregon, attitude towards initiative and referendum, 70; corporations in, 13; direct legislation in, 124; effect of initiative in, 39; experience with recall of judges in, 264, 265; history of initiative and referendum in, 91; initiative and referendum in, 5, 84, 85, 92, 94, 95, 96, 97, 160, 211; law making by legislature, 6, 7; recall of judges in, 263; reformed system of, 38; scheme of government of, 74; senate in, 71.
Party government, inadequacy of, 17; outgrowth of, 21.
Pennsylvania, legislature of, action of, 18.
Petitions, signatures necessary for, 104, 206, 220, 227; in Arkansas, 104; in Maine, 101; in Michigan, 104; in Missouri, 102; in Montana, 99; in New Mexico, 105; in Ohio, 192, 193, 198, 201; in Oklahoma, 100; in Oregon, 97; verification of signatures to, 151, 152, 207, 218, 224, 227, 229.
Popular government, foundation of, 4.
Presidential preference law, 16
Progressive movement, aim of, 35; and Aristotle, 36; and individualism, 36; and representative government, 33; and socialism, 47; character of, 33; definition of, 33; effect of, 39, 43; in California, 40; in Wisconsin, 41.
PROGRESSIVE MOVEMENT, THE SO-CALLED: ITS REAL NATURE, CAUSES AND SIGNIFICANCE. Charles M. Hollingsworth, 32–48.

RAPPARD, WILLIAM E. The Initiative, Referendum and Recall in Switzerland, 110–145.
Recall, argument against, 26; argument for, 15, 24, 25, 76; as a remedy, 23; commission government and the, 217; definition of, 19; direct legislation and the, 65–77; effect of, 11, 37; elimination of, in Arizona, 104; existence of, 13; first use of, 216; foundation of, 218; functions of, 13, 30; future of, in Seattle, 235; history of, 216; in Great Britain, 75; in Los Angeles, 126; in Maine, 177; in Switzerland, 129; judicial, necessity for, 13, 14; judicial, upon popular vote, 77; method of procedure under, 20; necessity for, 19, 20; of judges, effect of, 266; of judges, fallacy of, 262; of judges, in Minnesota, 275; of judges, in Oregon, 263, 264, 265; of judges, kinds of, 308; of judges, result of, 264; of judicial decisions, inconsistency of, 268, 271; of judicial decisions, no justification by necessity for, 272; of judicial decisions, Roosevelt's plan for, 311, 312, 319, 321, 323; political character of, 219; principle of, 75; publicity

under, 222; results of, 225; results of, in Seattle, 234; Seattle's experience with, 227–236; Swiss, origin of, 134; Switzerland's experience with, 110–145; under Constitution of Pennsylvania (1776), 24.

RECALL IN SEATTLE, THE WORKING OF THE. Fred Wayne Catlett, 227–236.

RECALL OF THE JUDICIARY, DANGERS THAT LURK IN THE. James A. Metcalf, 278–285.

RECALL, THE—ITS PROVISIONS AND SIGNIFICANCE. H. S. Gilbertson, 216–226.

Referendum, advantages of, 8, 12, 13, 28, 70, 71, 73; and the American Federation of Labor, 122; argument against, 69; argument for, 24, 214; as a remedy, 23; bibliography on, 118, 119, 120, 121; by general laws, 108; compulsory constitutional, in Switzerland, 130; conclusions regarding, 108, 150; definition of, 19, 105, 127; difficulty with, 184; early history of, 83; effect of, 11, 37, 186; effect of, in Maine, 177; effects of, in Switzerland, 139; extent of, in Switzerland, 129; functions of, 3, 30, 181, 283; history of, in Great Britain, 115, 116, 117; history of, in Maine, 161, 163, 164, 165, 166; history of, in Michigan, 146; history of, in Oregon, 91; history of, in Switzerland, 130, 131, 132; in Arizona, 86, 104; in Arkansas, 85, 86, 104; in California, 86, 105; in Colorado, 86, 104; in Great Britain, 27, 69; in Idaho, 86; in Maine, 85, 101, 166; in Michigan, 85, 104, 148, 149; in Missouri, 85; in Montana, 85; in Nebraska, 86; in Nevada, 85, 98, 161; in New Mexico, 86, 104; in North Dakota, 86; in Oklahoma, 99, 161; in Oregon, 84, 85, 160; in South Dakota, 84, 87, 89, 160; in Switzerland, 69; in Utah, 84, 160; in Washington, 86; in Wyoming, 86; limitation of measures submitted through, 209; Maine's experience with, 159–178; method of procedure under, 20; Michigan's experience with, 146–158; necessity for, 19, 20; optional, advantage of, 143; Oregon's attitude towards, 70; progress of, in America, 84; proposal for, in Ohio, 191; provisions of, in Maine, 166; results of, in Switzerland, 135; results of judicial, 284; Switzerland's experience with, 110–145; votes in Michigan, 155, 156, 157, 158; votes in Oklahoma, 101; votes on, in Oregon, 94, 95, 96, 97;

REFERENDUM AND INITIATIVE IN MICHIGAN, THE. John A. Fairlie, 146–158.

Representative government, and progressive movement, 33; in Great Britain, 24, 26, 27; in United States, 24, 32; leadership under, 9; substitution of democracy for, 24.

Roosevelt, Theodore, and direct legislation, 87; on the judiciary, 255, 258; speech of, 107.

Senate, in Oregon, 71; power of presiding officer in, 56.

Senators, United States, popular election of, 8.

SNOW, ALPHEUS HENRY. The Position of the Judiciary in the United States, 286–310.

Socialism and the initiative and referendum in Switzerland, 134; and the progressive movement, 47.

South Dakota, attitude of people towards initiative and referendum, 89; initiative and referendum in, 84, 87, 89, 124, 160; method of procedure in, 88.

Sovereignty of the people, 3, 4, 22, 24, 26, 246.
STATE LEGISLATION, ACTUAL. John A. Lapp, 49–64.
State legislatures, dissatisfaction with, 114.
Switzerland, comparison of, with United States, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114; direct legislation in, 81, 82, 127; drafting of the initiative in, 204; effects of referendum in, 139; experience with initiative, 137; influence of, on United States, 110, 114, 122, 123, 125; method of procedure in, 128; referendum in, 69; results of initiative and referendum in, 135.
SWITZERLAND, THE INITIATIVE, REFERENDUM AND RECALL IN. William E. Rappard, 110–145.

Taft, William H., and the judicial recall, 274, 275.
Taxes, direct, need of laws for, 47.
United States, basic propositions for government in, 287, 290, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297; constitutional government of, 246, 260; limitations upon legislative powers of people of, 248, 249.
U'Ren, W. S., and the initiative and referendum, 91; interview of, 39.
Utah, direct legislation in, 90; initiative and referendum in, 84, 160.

Vote, popular, argument for, 317.

Washington, initiative and referendum in, 86.
Wilson, Woodrow, and direct legislation, 87.
Wisconsin, law making in, 56; progressive movement in, 41; proposed constitutional amendment in, 107; provisions for initiative in, 184, 185, 186.
Wyoming, initiative and referendum in, 86, 208.